

From: (b)(6) CTR USCENTCOM CCHC/F
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 8:17 AM
To: (b)(6) Civ USAF AFMC OO-ALC/GHSBD'; (b)(6) Mr CIV
USAF USCENTCOM CCHC/F
Cc: (b)(6) LTC MIL USA USCENTCOM CCHC/A; (b)(6)
Mr CIV USAF USCENTCOM CCHC/A
Subject: RE: damage to UPS busbar from flood

The water leaked from the CRAC unit to the floor, and was pushed by the airflow of the CRAC to the opening that goes from the UPS room (on the 2nd floor) downstairs to the switchboard for the UPS. The water flowed down the vertical busbar that connects the UPS system to the UPS switchboard. We, too, thought that the insurance company for Clark Construction's subcontractor would cover this, but as my email yesterday explained, we're on our own.

So, the only damage to what was installed under the UPS contract was the busbar section. Nothing else was damaged, and no issue with warranty on the overall UPS.

Training won't be done until after this repair is completed, so I suspect we're looking at training in late March to early April.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) Civ USAF AFMC OO-ALC/GHSBD
[mailto:(b)(6)]
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 5:51 PM
To: (b)(6) CTR USCENTCOM CCHC/F; (b)(6) CIV USAF
USCENTCOM CCHC/F
Cc: (b)(6) LTC MIL USA USCENTCOM CCHC/A; (b)(6) Mr
CIV USAF USCENTCOM CCHC/A
Subject: RE: damage to UPS busbar from flood
Importance: High

(b)(6)

very sorry to hear of the water leak from the cooling unit in the UPS room. If the water got into the UPS as you mentioned...did it void the warranty on the UPS? I was under the impression it was the bus-ducting the water leaked into and that Eaton was working thru the insurance company to accomplish the repairs (just heard this on this morning's telecom with Eaton).???

So yeah, you may have to provide funds for the repair.

We have no contingency FY09 (3080) funds left to accomplish.

Per my PCO, we have completed installation and paid Eaton for what was required of them on the MAC contract. The only open item is the on-site training and I was to "press" you for a firm date when that could be accomplished....? Tentatively they are looking at 1-3 March 2011, but you need to confirm that as a good date with Eaton and PCCIE.

When we have a repair bid or when you get a repair bid...let's look at it and see if we can feasibly work it...without breaking any rules...however; no promises as I am not a contracting officer!

V/r,

(b)(6) Lead PM
PCCIE Program Office

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) CTR USCENTCOM CCHC/F (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 2011 2:04 PM
To: (b)(6) Mr CIV USAF USCENTCOM CCHC/F; (b)(6) Civ USAF
AFMC OO-ALC/GHSBD
Cc: (b)(6) LTC MIL USA USCENTCOM CCHC/A; (b)(6) Mr
CIV USAF USCENTCOM CCHC/A
Subject: damage to UPS busbar from flood

As you know, there was damage to the recently-installed UPS busbar system in Bldg 570 from a water leak at the computer room cooling unit in the UPS room. It appears that Clark Construction will not cover the cost of repairs in any way, and the US Corps of Engineers initially feels that the contract doesn't require them to be responsible during this period of Joint Occupancy. (The local USACE office is checking with their headquarters in Mobile to get a determination.)

In most normal instances, government-provided equipment isn't installed until we achieved beneficial occupancy and we "owned" the building. Joint Occupancy is an unusual arrangement put in this construction contract which allows the government to come in and install IT components (and in this case, the UPS system), but it doesn't say that the contractor then must cover inadvertent damages to it.

With over \$100 M of IT/AV equipment to be installed over the next 7 months, you can imagine that the contractor is simply not willing to accept ownership and responsibility. In fact, in previous meetings on this topic, we talked about what might happen if the building burned to the ground sometime during Joint Occupancy. The contractor would be responsible for rebuilding the structure and would be covered by builder's insurance, but the government would be responsible for replacing the IT/AV equipment and since the government is self-insuring, we would have to come up with the funds to replace everything.

Applying this same line of thinking to the UPS system and the damage to the busbar, I would venture to say that the government is self-insured and we need to come up with the money to repair the busbar.

(b)(6) – I believe (b)(6) is coming up with a cost estimate to make the
irs and should have it in the next day or two. Is there any contingency
funds left with you to cover or help cover the costs of these repairs?

Regards,

(b)(6)

CCHC MILCON Manager

U.S. Central Command (CCHC)

(b)(6)