

Portion(s) declassified by:
(b)(6) YA-03, DAFC
Declassified on: 28 January 2008

Document approved for release
by U. S. Central Command. See
FOIA Case# 07-0222.

From: (b) (6) , LTC (b)(6) (USA)
Sent: Wednesday, March 01, 2006 5:52 PM
To: CENTCOM CCDC (Deputy Commander's Office); CENTCOM SJS
(Secretary Joint Staff)
Cc: (b) (6) COL (b)(6) (USA)
Subject: FW: 1212-028 ESP: Terms of Reference for GEN (Ret) Meigs (~~FOUO~~)
Classification: ~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

I believe this ESP is OBE now. Recommend deleting from the Tasker tool.

V/R
LTC (b) (6)
Executive Officer for
Deputy CDR CENTCOM
(b) (6) (DSN-(b)(6))

From: (b) (6) , LCDR (b)(6) .. (USN)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2006 11:49
To: (b) (6) LTC (b)(6) (USA)
Subject: FW: 1212-028 ESP: Terms of Reference for GEN (Ret) Meigs (~~FOUO~~)

Classification: ~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

From: CENTCOM CCDC (Deputy Commander's Office)
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2006 5:13 PM
To: (b) (6) LCDR (b)(6) (USN)
Cc: (b) (6) , LTC (b)(6) (USA)
Subject: FW: 1212-028 ESP: Terms of Reference for GEN (Ret) Meigs (~~FOUO~~)

Classification: ~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Sir, hopefully the last ESP for the day.

Vr,
SSgt (b) (6)

From: CENTCOM CCDC-COS (Chief of Staff's Office)
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2006 16:10
To: CENTCOM CCDC (Deputy Commander's Office)
Cc: CENTCOM SJS (Secretary Joint Staff)
Subject: FW: 1212-028 ESP: Terms of Reference for GEN (Ret) Meigs (~~FOUO~~)

Sir, for DCDR coord...draft CDR letter to GEN (Ret) Meigs re: C-IED priorities.

v/r
LCDR (b) (6) SC, USN

From: (b) (6) Col (b)(6) (USAF)
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2006 1:39 AM

To: CENTCOM CCDC-COS (Chief of Staff's Office)
Cc: CENTCOM SJS (Secretary Joint Staff); (b)(6) COL (b)(6) (USA)
Subject: FW: 1212-028 ESP: Terms of Reference for GEN (Ret) Meigs (~~FOUO~~)

Classification: ~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

Recommended letter, as requested by the CDR, to GEN(Ret) Meigs outlining CENTCOM C-IED priorities.

===== ~~FOUO~~ ===== **CENTCOM E-STAFF**

PACKAGE

		Rank/Name/Date
CDR	SIGN	
DCDR	COORD	
COS	COORD	MG Austin 20/1/06
DCS-F	COORD	Col (b)(6) 20 Jan
DCS-M	COORD	Col (b)(6) 19/1
SJS	COORD	CDR (b)(6) 19 Jan

Subject: CDR TASKER: Terms of Reference for GEN (Ret) Meigs

-----**SUMMARY**

- 1. Purpose:** 1212-028 To provide Terms of Reference for the JIEDD TF.
- 2. Discussion:** The CDR requested CCJ3 prepare Terms of Reference for GEN (Ret) Meigs, outlining priorities that CENTCOM would like him to focus on. **These Terms of Reference are contained in the attached memorandum (Tab A).**
- 3. Recommendation:** CDR sign **Tab A.**

-----**Form 14**



Form 14 Terms of Reference.doc...

-----**TAB A**

(USCENTCOM Memorandum)



Memo - CDR to
Director JIEDD T...

(b)(6)

This email contains information which may be exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

CCJ3

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF THE JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE
DEVICE DEFEAT TASK FORCE, WASHINGTON, DC
20318-1000

SUBJECT: Terms of Reference

1. As you take the reins at the Joint IED Defeat Task Force (JIEDD TF), I offer the thoughts and recommendations below for your consideration.
2. Countering improvised explosive devices (C-IED) in the USCENTCOM area of responsibility requires a comprehensive, integrated, balanced, and long-term approach. To be effective, any effort must seek both technological and non-technological solutions, as there is no single "silver bullet" answer. It must aggressively solicit and support new and novel methods to counter this increasingly lethal asymmetric threat. The C-IED effort requires a fully integrated Joint effort and long-term solutions involving well developed conceptual frameworks to make the most of our resources. In addition, in order to develop effective war-fighting networks, every effort must be made to concurrently develop coalition and partner-nation C-IED capabilities.
3. My priorities for the USCENTCOM C-IED effort are outlined by lines of operation in the attached Terms of Reference (Enclosure 1).
4. The USCENTCOM point of contact for C-IED issues is Colonel (b) (6)
(b) (6) Chief, C-IED TF, DSN (b) (6)

John P. Abizaid
General, USA

**TERMS OF REFERENCE
COUNTER-IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICES**

1. Line of Operation 1 (Defeat the IED System):

a. Developing persistent intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) strategies and systems to facilitate the defeat of the enemy's IED support system.

b. Developing high quality CONUS-based training for all services.

c. Institutionalizing C-IED training and practices through programs of record.

d. Facilitating and formalizing bomb-maker targeting means. This includes biometrics technology, Weapons Intelligence Teams (WIT), technical exploitation of discovered IEDs through appropriate organizations (CEXC and TEDAC), and search technologies and TTPs.

e. Develop a broad and holistic joint integrating strategy to defeat the IED system that includes but is not limited to C-IED intelligence analysis and dissemination, offensive C-IED operations, information and strategic communications operations, persistent surveillance, base defense, route clearance and force protection, and partner force development. This strategy will be the foundation for Combatant Command and CJTF C-IED strategies and plans.

2. Line of Operation 2 (Defeat the IED Device):

a. Developing stand-off Detection for suicide bombers, devices, and munitions. Special attention should be given to Passive Infra-Red (PIR) initiators and Explosive-Formed Projectiles (EFPs).

b. Continue procuring Counter-Radio-Controlled Electronic Warfare (CREW) devices; they have been effective as counter measures. However, we require accelerated fielding of CREW II systems and continued development of the next generation CREW that is fully integrated within all platforms. Additionally, CREW development must include systems we can share with our coalition partners.

c. Continue mitigating IED damage through the design and development of the next generation of personnel, operating base, infrastructure, and vehicle, protection systems.

3. Line of Operation 3 (Develop Coalition and Partner Nation Counter-IED Capability):

a. Streamlining the process for sharing CREW and other C-IED technology with Coalition partners. Coalition partners must have access to CREW devices and other C-IED technologies when mission needs dictate.

b. Facilitating comprehensive C-IED training to Coalition partners. A Coalition C-IED training package is required and needs to be developed to support our Coalition partners.

c. Enabling classification of C-IED technology, activities, and issues to be as widely available as possible. We need a tiered classification method in order to facilitate C-IED information sharing.

Portion(s) declassified by:
(b)(6) YA-03, DAFC
Declassified on: 28 January 2008

Document approved for release by U.S. Central
Command. See FOIA Case 07-0222

From: (b) (6) COL Douglas A. (USA)
Sent: Wednesday, June 07, 2006 6:27 PM
To: Lute, MG Douglas E. (USA)
Cc: Holmes, Brig Gen Robert H. (USAF); (b) (6) COL (b)(6) (USA); Custer,
BG John M. (USA); (b) (6) Col (b)(6) (USMC); (b) (6) LTC (b)(6)
(b) (6) COL (b)(6) (USA); CENTCOM CCJ3 (Executive Officers)
Subject: RE: PTSD (~~SECRET~~) (S) UNCLASSIFIED
Classification: ~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

Sir,
C-IED TF has the lead. I have been working the (b)(1)1.4i issue with (b)(1)1.4a with the CCJ2 for
months now .. I will try to get IRQ chop during the night for my recommendation.

BLUF, my recommendation is to establish a CENTCOM requirement as (b)(1)1.4a
(b)(1)1.4a

MNF/C-I has only submitted a requirement for 2 more PTDS (they have one) .. CFC-A/CJTF-76
has a CENTCOM validated (b)(1)1.4a JIEDDO and the Army have funded for
production (b)(1)1.4a

The full requirement gets ugly because we have 3 separate assessments (one from DA G2, CAA,
and JFCOM J9) that support a requirement for (b)(1)1.4a I have been working
MNC-I for 6 months, and while we are getting closer, we still do not have a full comprehensive
theater requirement beyond the (b)(1)1.4 a

If pressed for a decision tonight, my recommendation is to establish a CENTCOM requirement as
(b)(1)1.4 a

I will send this into theater for their chop and try to get their position during the night.

v/r (b) (6)

(b) (6)
COL, USA
Chief, CENTCOM C-IED Task Force
Com (b) (6) Tampa
DSN (b) (6) STEA
VOIP (b)(6)
(b)(6)
email: SIPR: (b) (6)
NIPR: (b) (6)

From: Lute, MG Douglas E. (USA)
Sent: Wednesday, June 07, 2006 5:45 PM
To: (b) (6) COL (b)(6) (USA); Holmes, Brig Gen Robert H. (USAF); (b)(6) COL (b) (6) (USA)
Cc: Lute, MG Douglas E. (USA)
Subject: RE: PTSD (~~SECRET~~) (S) UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: ~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED

Is this C-EID or FP? Need reply by 0700, Thurs.

MG Doug Lute
USCENTCOM J-3

From: (b) (6). COL (b)(6) (USA)
Sent: Wednesday, June 07, 2006 3:07 PM
To: Lute, MG Douglas E. (USA); Austin, MG Lloyd J. (USA)
Cc: (b) (6) COL (b)(6) (USA); (b) (6) Lt Col (b)(6) (USAF); (b) (6) YNCM (SW/AW) (b)(6) (USN)
Subject: FW: PTSD (~~SECRET~~) UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: ~~SECRET~~

Chief: See Boss's task. Please send directly to me.

v/r
(b)(6)

From: Abizaid, John P. GEN (USA)
Sent: Wednesday, June 07, 2006 3:02 PM
To: (b) (6) COL (b)(6) (USA)
Subject: FW: PTSD (~~SECRET~~) UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(6) Get this to the right person to answer quickly to me on the issue. Need an answer by tomorrow AM. Will call from the plane. JPA

From: Meigs, Montgomery C JIEDDO [mailto:Montgomery.Meigs (b) (6)]
Sent: Wednesday, June 07, 2006 1:46 PM
To: Abizaid, John P. GEN (USA)
Cc: Cody, Richard A GEN VCSA; (b) (6) JIEDDO/Contractor; (b) (6) Mr JIEDDO; Allyn, Daniel B BG JIEDDO; Casey George GEN MNF-I CG
Subject: PTSD (~~SECRET~~) UNCLASSIFIED

Classification: ~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED
~~SECRET//REL TO ,//~~
Caveats: NONE

John,

Just returned from Congressman Jim Saxton's office where I accompanied Army reps who were to explain to Mr. Saxton why it's taken a year not to deliver (b)(1)1.4 to theater, given that a year ago he was promised that deployment was imminent. For background, you'll remember that DSD, VCJCS and I have carried the water with Chmn of the HASC on ISR issues for the ground force, particularly the Army. (b)(1)1.4 has been a crucial part of a strategy that has precluded directed investments in far less capable systems.

Despite the fact that (b)(1)1.4 plays a central role in our new (b)(1)1.4a one briefed to HASC with secretarial buy in, it has an ugly history. JIEDDO has not tracked it vigorously. Army, the sponsor, has not worked to cut thru red tape to get to most expeditious decision and deployment. After a year of analysis off and on, (b)(1)1.4 a
(b)(1)1.4a

(b)(1)1.4a
(b)(1)1.4a I was asked to attend in support of LTG Kimmons who dropped out. We went into the barrel with an Army SES-6 and the PM. (b)(1)1.4a
(b)(1)1.4a

(b)(1)1.4a

- (b)(1)1.4a
- Tell me and Mr. Saxton when we can have the (b)(1)1.4a in theater (these will be first generation birds which will be PiP'd in stride.) First answer was November. I said too late. Tell us what is required to move that date up and when the first available date is. Want all (b)(1)1.4a in the first tranch of systems in theater as soon as humanly possible. PM admitted after the meeting he has flex to move to the left. I made it clear to the Army reps that these commitments would be carved in stone with Mr. Saxton.
- (b)(1)1.4a for Afghanistan and Iraq. We now have a JUONS for (b)(1)1.4a supposedly a rqmt in the pipeline for a number for Iraq.

We really do need the theater position on the number very quickly. One word of caution.

(b)(1)1.4a

(b)(1)1.4a Monies from the Supplemental will be tight. JIEDDO gets about (b)(1)1.4 for which in the pipeline we have (b)(1)1.4 of requirements in the white world already documented, tho not all approved. There is plenty more coming out of the black world and at least one uncompartmented system that will lay down a big bill sometime in IV qtr.

We really need your number, but remember at (b)(1)1.4 a pop, if you ask for (1)1. we can't procure them unless we squeeze out other very valuable systems, some of which you know about, some of which you do not, or if you do, I suspect you've only had a brief once over. My sense is that with (b)(1)1.4a and using these where they do the most good (1)1 or so ought to do the job. Remember, you're getting a number of systems not anticipated two months ago: (b)(1)1.4a likely now (b)(1)1.4a they should take up some of the load... and (1)1 pushes the bill to almost (b)(1)1.4a

I'll work with Army to get the first (b)(1)1.4a to theater as quickly as possible. JIEDDO will also answer the mail with Mr. Saxton on how to enable that. So we can work resourcing asap and get this program where it needs to be, can you get me a fast, no-kidding answer on basis of issue for (b)(1)1.4a soonest?

MCM

Classification: ~~SECRET~~ UNCLASSIFIED
~~SECRET//REL TO ;//~~
Caveats: NONE

DERIVED FROM: USCENTCOM Classification Guide 0501
DECLASSIFY ON: 07 Jun 2016

[MG Lute: comment]

DERIVED FROM: USCENTCOM Classification Guide 0501
DECLASSIFY ON: 07 Jun 2016

DERIVED FROM: USCENTCOM Classification Guide 0501
DECLASSIFY ON: 07 Jun 2016