



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS, 3RD BRIGADE, 25TH INFANTRY DIVISION
MULTI NATIONAL DIVISION NORTH
FORWARD OPERATING BASE WARRIOR, APO AE 09338

APVG-ZZO-LO

24 January 2007

MEMORANDUM FOR Commander, 3rd IBCT, 25th Infantry Division, Forward Operating Base Warrior, Kirkuk, Iraq, APO AE 09338

SUBJECT: Legal Review of AR 15-6 Investigation, Dated 9 January 2007, Investigation of Facts and Circumstances Surrounding Escalation of Force Incident Resulting in Death of LN

1. I have reviewed the subject investigation IAW AR 15-6 and find it legally sufficient for the Appointing Authority to approve the findings and recommendations by signing Section VIII of DA Form 1574.
2. Background. The investigation revealed that on 24 December 2006 elements from B Co., 2-27 Infantry Battalion were traveling to the 18th SIB headquarters. While enroute to 18th SIB an IED was discovered at the intersection of Eastern Way and Deni West. B Co., 2-27 cordoned the site while EOD actioned on and prepared to destroy the IED. While the cordon was set two vehicles approached on Eastern Way in a southeasterly direction of travel. EOF procedures were initiated resulting in warning shots being fired. The first vehicle decelerated, pulled off the road, and eventually came to a stop. The second vehicle, however, passed the first vehicle and continued towards the cordon. Disabling shots were fired into the engine compartment followed shortly thereafter by lethal shots being fired into the passenger compartment. 2-27 medics immediately attempted to render medical assistance; however, the medics determined that the nature of his injuries resulted in near-immediate death.
3. Discussion. A preponderance of the evidence supports the IO's findings that proper EOF procedures were used, and the IO's recommendations. The appointment and subsequent investigation comply with all legal requirements. The investigation contains no procedural errors that materially affect the rights of any of the individuals involved in the investigation. Substantial evidence supports the investigating officer's findings, and the recommendations are consistent with the findings.

004939

APVG-ZZ-LO

SUBJECT: Legal Review of AR 15-6 Investigation, Dated 9 January 2007, Investigation of Facts and Circumstances Surrounding Escalation of Force Incident Resulting in Death of LN

4. The POC for this memorandum is the undersigned at VOIP (b)(2)High or email

(b)(3),(b)(6) @ (b)(2) High

(b)(3),(b)(6)

(b)(3),(b)(6)

MAJ, JA
Command Judge Advocate



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
2ND BATTALION, 27TH INFANTRY REGIMENT
25TH INFANTRY DIVISION
FOB McHENRY, HAWIJAH, IRAQ APO-AE 09338



APVG-ZZB-CDR

26 December 2006

MEMORANDUM FOR 1LT

(b)(3), (b)(6)

SUBJECT: Appointment of Investigating Officer for formal 15-6 Investigation

1. You are hereby appointed as the Investigating Officer pursuant to AR 15-6 to conduct a formal investigation into the action that took place on Route (b)(2) High Iraq on 24 December 2006 in which an escalation of force by 2/B/2-27 resulted in the death of one civilian.
2. In your investigation all witness statements will be sworn. From the evidence, you will make findings as to whether any laws or regulations were violated. In your recommendations you will recommend a course of action that should be taken in regards to this incident.
3. Submit your findings and recommendations on a DA Form 1574 to me NLT 10 1500 JAN 07.

(b)(3),(b)(6)

(b)(3),(b)(6)

LTC, IN
Commanding

004941

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BY INVESTIGATING OFFICER/BOARD OF OFFICERS

For use of this form, see AR 15-6; the proponent agency is OTJAG.

IF MORE SPACE IS REQUIRED IN FILLING OUT ANY PORTION OF THIS FORM, ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS

SECTION I - APPOINTMENT

Appointed by LTC (b)(3),(b)(6) (Appointing authority)

on 5 January 2007 (Date) (Attach inclosure 1: Letter of appointment or summary of oral appointment data.) (See para 3-15, AR 15-6.)

SECTION II - SESSIONS

The (investigation) (board) commenced at FOB McHenry -A Company CP at 1430
 (Place) (Time)
 on 9 January 2007 (Date) (If a formal board met for more than one session, check here . Indicate in an inclosure the time each session began and ended, the place, persons present and absent, and explanation of absences, if any.) The following persons (members, respondents, counsel) were present: (After each name, indicate capacity, e.g., President, Recorder, Member, Legal Advisor.)

ILT James R. Vance - Investigating Officer

The following persons (members, respondents, counsel) were absent: (Include brief explanation of each absence.) (See paras 5-2 and 5-8a, AR 15-6.)
 NONE

The (investigating officer) (board) finished gathering/hearing evidence at 2200 on 8 January 2007
 (Time) (Date)
 and completed findings and recommendations at 1200 on 9 January 2007
 (Time) (Date)

SECTION III - CHECKLIST FOR PROCEEDINGS

A. COMPLETE IN ALL CASES		YES	NO ^{1/}	NA ^{2/}
1 Inclosures (para 3-15, AR 15-6)				
Are the following inclosed and numbered consecutively with Roman numerals: (Attached in order listed)				
a.	The letter of appointment or a summary of oral appointment data?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b.	Copy of notice to respondent, if any? (See item 9, below)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c.	Other correspondence with respondent or counsel, if any?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d.	All other written communications to or from the appointing authority?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e.	Privacy Act Statements (Certificate, if statement provided orally)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f.	Explanation by the investigating officer or board of any unusual delays, difficulties, irregularities, or other problems encountered (e.g., absence of material witnesses)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g.	Information as to sessions of a formal board not included on page 1 of this report?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h.	Any other significant papers (other than evidence) relating to administrative aspects of the investigation or board?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

FOOTNOTES: 1/ Explain all negative answers on an attached sheet.
 2/ Use of the N/A column constitutes a positive representation that the circumstances described in the question did not occur in this investigation or board.

		YES	NO ^{1/}	NA ^{2/}
2	Exhibits (para 3-16, AR 15-6)			
	a. Are all items offered (whether or not received) or considered as evidence individually numbered or lettered as exhibits and attached to this report?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Is an index of all exhibits offered to or considered by investigating officer or board attached before the first exhibit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c. Has the testimony/statement of each witness been recorded verbatim or been reduced to written form and attached as an exhibit?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d. Are copies, descriptions, or depictions (if substituted for real or documentary evidence) properly authenticated and is the location of the original evidence indicated?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e. Are descriptions or diagrams included of locations visited by the investigating officer or board (para 3-6b, AR 15-6)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	f. Is each written stipulation attached as an exhibit and is each oral stipulation either reduced to writing and made an exhibit or recorded in a verbatim record?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	g. If official notice of any matter was taken over the objection of a respondent or counsel, is a statement of the matter of which official notice was taken attached as an exhibit (para 3-16d, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3	Was a quorum present when the board voted on findings and recommendations (paras 4-1 and 5-2b, AR 15-6)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
B. COMPLETE ONLY FOR FORMAL BOARD PROCEEDINGS (Chapter 5, AR 15-6)				
4	At the initial session, did the recorder read, or determine that all participants had read, the letter of appointment (para 5-3b, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5	Was a quorum present at every session of the board (para 5-2b, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6	Was each absence of any member properly excused (para 5-2a, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7	Were members, witnesses, reporter, and interpreter sworn, if required (para 3-1, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8	If any members who voted on findings or recommendations were not present when the board received some evidence, does the inclosure describe how they familiarized themselves with that evidence (para 5-2d, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
C. COMPLETE ONLY IF RESPONDENT WAS DESIGNATED (Section II, Chapter 5, AR 15-6)				
9	Notice to respondents (para 5-5, AR 15-6):			
	a. Is the method and date of delivery to the respondent indicated on each letter of notification?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Was the date of delivery at least five working days prior to the first session of the board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c. Does each letter of notification indicate —	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(1) the date, hour, and place of the first session of the board concerning that respondent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(2) the matter to be investigated, including specific allegations against the respondent, if any?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(3) the respondent's rights with regard to counsel?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(4) the name and address of each witness expected to be called by the recorder?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	(5) the respondent's rights to be present, present evidence, and call witnesses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d. Was the respondent provided a copy of all unclassified documents in the case file?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e. If there were relevant classified materials, were the respondent and his counsel given access and an opportunity to examine them?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10	If any respondent was designated after the proceedings began (or otherwise was absent during part of the proceedings):			
	a. Was he properly notified (para 5-5, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Was record of proceedings and evidence received in his absence made available for examination by him and his counsel (para 5-4c, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11	Counsel (para 5-6, AR 15-6):			
	a. Was each respondent represented by counsel?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Name and business address of counsel:			
	(If counsel is a lawyer, check here <input type="checkbox"/>)			
	b. Was respondent's counsel present at all open sessions of the board relating to that respondent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c. If military counsel was requested but not made available, is a copy (or, if oral, a summary) of the request and the action taken on it included in the report (para 5-6b, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12	If the respondent challenged the legal advisor or any voting member for lack of impartiality (para 5-7, AR 15-6):			
	a. Was the challenge properly denied and by the appropriate officer?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Did each member successfully challenged cease to participate in the proceedings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13	Was the respondent given an opportunity to (para 5-8a, AR 15-6):			
	a. Be present with his counsel at all open sessions of the board which deal with any matter which concerns that respondent?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	b. Examine and object to the introduction of real and documentary evidence, including written statements?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	c. Object to the testimony of witnesses and cross-examine witnesses other than his own?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	d. Call witnesses and otherwise introduce evidence?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	e. Testify as a witness?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	f. Make or have his counsel make a final statement or argument (para 5-9, AR 15-6)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14	If requested, did the recorder assist the respondent in obtaining evidence in possession of the Government and in arranging for the presence of witnesses (para 5-8b, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
15	Are all of the respondent's requests and objections which were denied indicated in the report of proceedings or in an inclosure or exhibit to it (para 5-11, AR 15-6)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FOOTNOTES: 1/ Explain all negative answers on an attached sheet. 2/ Use of the N/A column constitutes a positive representation that the circumstances described in the question did not occur in this investigation or board.				

SECTION IV - FINDINGS (para 3-10, AR 15-6)

The (investigating officer) (board) . having carefully considered the evidence, finds:

SEE ATTACHED MEMORANDUM

SECTION V - RECOMMENDATIONS (para 3-11, AR 15-6)

In view of the above findings, the (investigating officer) (board) recommends:

SEE ATTACHED MEMORANDUM

SECTION VI - AUTHENTICATION (para 3-17, AR 15-6)

THIS REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. (If any voting member or the recorder fails to sign here or in Section VII below, indicate the reason in the space where his signature should appear.)

(b)(3),(b)(6)

(b)(3),(b)(6)

(Recorder)

(Member)

(Member)

(b)(3),(b)(6)

(b)(3),(b)(6)

(Investigating Officer) (President)

(Member)

(Member)

SECTION VII - MINORITY REPORT (para 3-13, AR 15-6)

To the extent indicated in inclosure N/A, the undersigned do(es) not concur in the findings and recommendations of the board. (In the inclosure, identify by number each finding and/or recommendation in which the dissenting member(s) do(es) not concur. State the reasons for disagreement. Additional/substitute findings and/or recommendations may be included in the inclosure.)

(Member)

(Member)

SECTION VIII - ACTION BY APPOINTING AUTHORITY (para 2-3, AR 15-6)

The findings and recommendations of the (investigating officer) (board) are (approved) (disapproved) (approved with following exceptions/substitutions). (If the appointing authority returns the proceedings to the investigating officer or board for further proceedings or corrective action, attach that correspondence (or a summary, if oral) as a numbered inclosure.)

(b)(3),(b)(6)



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 2ND BATTALION, 27TH INFANTRY (WOLFHOUNDS)
 25TH INFANTRY DIVISION
 McHENRY FIREBASE, HAWIJA, IRAQ APO-AE 09338



AFRD-ZZB-ACO

9 January 2007

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: AR 15-6 Investigation for Escalation of Force by Bastard 26 – 24 1500 DEC 2006

1. I was appointed the 15-6 Investigating Officer for Bastard 26's Escalation of Force (EOF) that occurred 24 December 2006 on route (b)(3),(b)(6) near the Eastern Way and route (b)(3),(b)(6) intersection vic grid (b)(2) High. My investigation is now complete. Based on the evidence, I find the following.

2. Facts: On 24 December 2006 2nd Platoon Bravo Company, along with the Bravo Company Commander were traveling to 18th SIB Headquarters. Bastard 21 spotted an IED emplaced in the center of the road with command wire running from it in vicinity of the Eastern Way - (b)(2) High intersection. Over the next 20 to 30 minutes Bastard 26 secured the site while EOD rendered the IED safe and prepared to destroy the IED components. While EOD was preparing the disposal site, two white pick-up trucks were spotted moving Southeasterly down Eastern Way towards the B26 patrol. At approximately (b)(2) High from the patrol the gunner on the lead truck and dismounted troops in vicinity of the EOD truck began using hand and arm signals in an attempt to stop the two white trucks. The two vehicles continued to move without showing any sign of reducing speed. When the two vehicles were approximately (b)(2) High from the patrol the B21 gunner, PF (b)(3),(b)(6) fired two warning shots to the West of the vehicles. After the warning shots were fired, the white truck in the lead began to stop and pull off of the road. The second white truck, driven by (b)(6), passed the other truck and continued toward the patrol. At (b)(2) High dismounted forces IVO the EOD truck fired disabling shots into the engine and at 30-meters fired lethal shots into the vehicle stopping the vehicle (b)(2) High from the patrol. The B26 patrol immediately searched and cleared the disabled vehicle. B26's medic moved to render aid to the casualty once the vehicle had been cleared. The B26 medic declared the casualty dead and placed the driver's remains into a body bag. B26's interpreter answered the deceased's cell phone and informed (b)(6) friend of the incident. The deceased's Nephew and friend met the B26 patrol at the scene and took custody of the remains. B26 returned to FOB McHenry and completed the 3rd Infantry Brigade Combat team EOF report.

3. Findings: The EOF was conducted IAW established procedures and guidelines. The forces on the ground reacted to what they deemed a threat to themselves and the rest of the patrol. Soldiers in multiple locations utilized hand and arm signals in an attempt to stop the vehicle. The deceased was following the vehicle in front of him too closely and exercised poor situational awareness. When the first vehicle began to stop and pull off of the road, the deceased passed it and did not see the patrol. The driver never made any attempt to stop. Given the VBIED threat in the area of operations, it is understandable how this vehicle was perceived as a threat. As soon as the vehicle was secured the Platoon moved to render aid, but unfortunately the casualty had been mortally wounded and was determined to be dead at the site.

4. Recommendation (b)(5)
 (b)(5)

5. Point of Contact for this investigation is 1LT (b)(3),(b)(6) at (b)(2)High

(b)(3),(b)(6)
 (b)(3),(b)(6)
 1LT, IN
 Platoon Leader

- 6 Encls
1. PF (b)(3),(b)(6) Statement
 2. SP (b)(3),(b)(6) Sworn Statement
 3. SP (b)(3),(b)(6) Sworn Statement
 4. 1LT (b)(3),(b)(6) Initial EOF Report
 5. SIGACT 12-168
 6. Vehicle photos

12:277

ESCALATION OF FORCE (EOF) INVESTIGATION REPORT

3RD INFANTRY BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM

TASK FORCE BRONCO

IN ACCORDANCE WITH FRAGO 132 TO OPERATIONS ORDER 01-06, THE FOLLOWING REPORT IS TO BE COMPLETED AND FORWARDED TO THE OFFICE OF THE BRIGADE COMMAND JUDGE ADVOCATE.

UNIT: 2ND PLT, B CO, 2ND BATTALION, 27TH INFANTRY

ESCALATION OF FORCE DATE TIME GROUP: 24 1500 DEC 06

LOCATION OF ESCALATION OF FORCE INCIDENT: (b)(2) High

TYPE OF INVESTIGATION: INFORMAL

FACTS AND FINDINGS OF INVESTIGATION:

FACTS: AT DTG NOTED, 2ND PLT, B CO WAS STATIONARY SITTING ON THE INTERSECTION OF EASTERN WAY AND SETA

(b)(2) High

(b)(5)

TWO WHITE PICK UP TRUCKS HEADED SOUTH ON EASTERN WAY AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED TOWARD THE EOD VEHICLE THAT WAS SITTING ON THE INTERSECTION OF DENI AND EASTERN WAY. SPC (b)(3),(b)(6) SFC (b)(3),(b)(6) CPT (b)(3),(b)(6) SSG (b)(3),(b)(6) TSGT (b)(3),(b)(6) SRA (b)(3),(b)(6) AND S (b)(3),(b)(6) WERE ALL DISMOUNTED AROUND THE VEHICLES. PFC (b)(3),(b)(6) WAS THE GUNNER IN THE 2-1 VEHICLE WITH A CLEAR VIEW NORTH ON EASTERN WAY. ALL OF THEM NOTICED THE TWO PICK UP TRUCKS AND ATTEMPTED TO STOP THE VEHICLES WITH CLEAR ARM AND HAND SIGNALS 100M OUT. THE HAND AND ARM SIGNALS INFORMED THE DRIVERS TO PULL TO THE SIDE OF THE ROAD AND STOP. WHEN THE TWO PICK UP TRUCKS DID NOT STOP TWO WARNING SHOTS WERE FIRED TO THE LEFT SIDE OF THE FIRST PICK UP TRUCK. THE LEAD WHITE PICK UP TRUCK STOPPED AND PULLED TO THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE ROAD. THE SECOND WHITE PICK UP TRUCK SPED AROUND THE FIRST VEHICLE AND BEGAN MOVING AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED. THE DISMOUNTS FIRED MULTIPLE WARNING SHOTS IN FRONT OF AND TO THE SIDE OF THE WHITE PICKUP TRYING TO ALERT THE DRIVER TO STOP. THE WHITE PICKUP TRUCK CONTINUED TO MOVE AT A HIGH RATE OF SPEED TOWARDS THE EOD TRUCK. AT 50M THE DISMOUNTS FIRED DISABLING SHOTS AT THE ENGINE BLOCK OF THE VEHICLE. THE VEHICLE CONTINUED TO MOVE SO AT ABOUT 30M THE DISMOUNTS FIRED LETHAL SHOTS AT THE DRIVER OF THE WHITE PICK UP TRUCK IN AN ATTEMPT TO STOP HIM FROM REACHING THE EOD TRUCK. THE WHITE PICK UP TRUCK STOPPED ABOUT 20M AWAY FROM THE EOD TRUCK. WE IMMEDIATELY SET UP SECURITY AROUND THE VEHICLE AND BEGAN TO SEARCH AND CLEAR THE VEHICLE. PFC (b)(3),(b)(6) THE PLATOON MEDIC, DECLARED THE DRIVER DEAD UPON INSPECTION. NOTHING SUSPICIOUS WAS FOUND INSIDE THE VEHICLE. WE PLACED THE DRIVER OF THE WHITE PICKUP TRUCK IN A BODY BAG. HE WAS LATER IDENTIFIED AS (b)(6) FROM HOD SETA VILLAGE. AN IP PATROL FROM THE ABASSI POLICE STATION SHOWED UP ON SCENE. (b)(6) THE INTERPRETER RECEIVED A PHONE CALL ON (b)(6) CELL PHONE FROM (b)(6). HE CLAIMED TO BE A FRIEND AND HE WAS INFORMED OF THE SITUATION BY MATT. (b)(6) SAID HE WOULD INFORM THE FAMILY AND COME BY TO PICK UP THE BODY. (b)(6) AND (b)(6) NEPHEW ARRIVED IN A BLUE PICK UP TRUCK AND PICKED UP THE BODY.

FINDINGS: EOF WAS PERFORMED IAW CFLLIC GUIDELINES. TRAINED USE OF EOF PROCEDURES AVERTED BOTH A POTENTIALLY CATASTROPHIC THREAT TO THE CONVOY AND A CIVILIAN CASUALTY.

RECOMMENDATION(S) OF INVESTIGATION: CONTINUE TO TRAIN EOF AND EXPLAIN IN EVERY CONVOY BRIEF.

PREPARED BY: 1LT (b)(3),(b)(6)
DATE: 24 DEC 2006

COMPANY COMMANDER: (b)(3),(b)(6)
BATTALION COMMANDER: (b)(3),(b)(6)

AS OF 01 MARCH 2006

004947