

Pages 1 through 13 redacted for the following reasons:

(b)(1)1.4(a), (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)
(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)
(b)(2)High, (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(3), (b)(6)

Purpose: Actions during infil phase of Obj (b)(2)High / Obj (b)(2)High
1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3),

Position: Lead Navigator / Point Element

During (b)(2)High into target area, (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)
IVO the base of ravine where (b)(3), (b)(6) was approaching. The (b)(2)High element was halted.
The (b)(2)High element then moved into a (b)(2)High position to determine 1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3),
1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3). As I approached the final piece of cover, I observed a MAM directly in
front of us approx (b)(2)High I notified (3), (b) of the MAM's presence and location. The
MAM was looking in the direction and had already taken an offensive posture displaying
hostile intent. At that time, the MAM began making barely audible sounds in our
direction. While (b)(3) was in the process of calling forward the interpreter, the MAM
began to engage us with small arms fire (SAF). I called "Enemy Fire" over the radio and
began to engage the MAM to suppress his fire. During exchange of SAF, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)
(b)(2)High I continued to suppress the SAF until (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6) arrived.

(S)(1)
(S)(2)
(S)(6)

(b)(2)High,
SOC 1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

(S)(1), (S)(2), (S)(6)

UNCLASSIFIED

Set ORP at the bottom of ravine. (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6) in a compound (b)(2) high south of ORP. 5 x (b)(2)High pushed to large rock, lost cover and concealment, to get eyes on (b)(2)High, (b)(3) compound. (b)(6) identified a MAM and passed it over the radio. MAM was looking in our direction, started talking. (b)(1) was going to be moved forward to (b)(2)High element when MAM engaged (b)(2)High element with SAF. (b)(1) engaged with SAF. (b)(1) (b)(2)High (b)(1) de-conflicted our position. (b)(2)High, (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(3), (b)(6) suppressed threat.

(S)(1)
(S)(2)
(S)(6)

(b)(2)High
SOC, (b)(2)High, (b) (S)(1), (b)(2), (S)(6)

(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

From: (b)(3), (b)(6)

Sent: Monday, February 19, 2007 11:24 PM (S)(1), (S)(6)

To: (b)(3), (b)(6)

Cc:

Subject: RE: (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6) in Al Qaim (S)(6)

Attachments: (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6) (S)(1)
statement re Alexander II.doc; (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6) (S)(2)
ALEXANDER II_09FEB07 (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6) (S)(3)
STORYBOARD ALEXANDER II.ppt (S)(6)

(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

Gentlemen,

No condolence payments were made to the (b)(6) family. (S)(6)

3/4 Marines reported:

- 1. That (b)(6) was killed while inside his house via wounds sustained from US (b)(2)High (S)(6)
- 2. (b)(6) was pro-coalition and a primary source of stability and cooperation inside (b)(2)High (S)(6)
- 3. The (b)(6) believed that (b)(6) was targeted by USSOF. (b)(6), (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3) (S)(1)

(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)
(b)(6), (b)(2)High

(b)(2)High, 3/4 Mitigation attempts:

- 1. (b)(2)High conducted an (b)(2)High brief to 3/4 Marines CDR detailing targeting methods and mission tasking (POD 11/12 FEB07). (S)(1)
- 2. (b)(2)High and 3/4 Marines agreed to conduct combined operations (USMC (b)(2)High followed by a (b)(2)High (b)(2)High, (b)(6)
- 3. (b)(2)High (b)(2)High
- 4. On 17 FEB07 (b)(2)High conducted a successful 3/4 Marine and (b)(2)High combined raid (Alexander in (b)(2)High, (b)(6) No negative after effects reported.
- 5. At 192000L FEB07 the RCT-2 CDR reported that the II MEF CDR was happy with the results of the coordination between (b)(2)High and the RCT-2 3/4BN.

V/R

(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

(S)(1), (S)(6)

(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6) (S)(1), (S)(6)

Sent: Monday, February 19, 2007 16:53

(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

Subject: RE: (b)(6), (b)(3) in Al Qaim (S)(L)

(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

(S)(L), (S)(2)

(b)(3), (b)(S)(L)

Please provide any and all data that we have on the ALEXANDER II (b)(2)High to (b)(6) ASAP. This would include (EXSUM, Details from 3-4 on the results of the TIC resulting in the death of (b)(6)

(b)(2)High, (b)(6), (b)(5), (b)(3), (b)(1)1.4a

VR

(b)(3), (b)(6)

(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

(b)(6), (b)(2)High

Already Reviewed and Redacted for Release, (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

Nonresponsive

Nonresponsive

From: (b)(3), (b)(6) (Col [redacted] (b)(3), (b)(6)

(S)(6)

Sent: Monday, February 19, 2007 9:54 AM

To: (b)(3), (b)(6)

Subject: FW: [U] RE: Follow-Up (CONFIDENTIAL) [redacted] (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

Importance: High

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

High, (b)(3) Different case. (b)(2)High recently did a (b)(2)High in a village near (b)(2)High, (b)(6) and shot and killed an
unarmed (b)(6) separately shot up his Toyota pick-up and put
many bullet holes in his house. (b)(5), (b)(2)High

(S)(1)
(b)(2)
(b)(6)

[redacted] (b)(6), (b)(2)High, (b)(5) [redacted] (b)(2)High

(b)(2)High, (b)(5) We are doing an investigation into this one as well. The investigator was there yesterday. My question: does this unit intend to make a CERP Condolence payment to the family?

2/20/2007

(b)(2)High, (b)(2)High, (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(3), (b)(6)

(S)(1)
(S)(2)
(S)(6)

(b)(5), (b)(2)High, (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(3), (b)(6)

(b)(5), (b)(2)High, (b)(6)

The DCG is

extremely anxious to quickly make this right with (b)(6) and has promised that the matter of restitution will get his personal attention. That said, restitution will involve repairing damage to (b)(6)

(b)(6)'s home and replacing his Toyota pickup. The home repairs will be handled by RCT-2 with CERP.

S/P (b)(6), (b)(3)

(b)(3), (b)(6)

Colonel, U.S. Marine Corps

(S)(6)

(b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)

Pages 21 through 22 redacted for the following reasons:

(b)(5), (b)(1)1.4a, (b)(2)High, (b)(3), (b)(6)
(b)(5), (b)(2)High, (b)(3)(b)(6), (b)(1)1.4a